

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

27195

7590

02/19/2004

AMIN & TUROCY, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114

· EXA	MINER			
SINGH, RACHNA				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
2176	21			
DATE MAILED, 02/10/20	<i></i>			

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/364,527	07/30/1999	ERIC HORVITZ	1018.025US1	9577

TITLE OF INVENTION: METHOD FOR AUTOMATICALLY ASSIGNING PRIORITIES TO DOCUMENTS AND MESSAGES

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE	PUBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1330	\$0	\$1330	05/19/2004

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE REFLECTS A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE APPLIED IN THIS APPLICATION. THE PTOL-85B (OR AN EQUIVALENT) MUST BE RETURNED WITHIN THIS PERIOD EVEN IF NO FEE IS DUE OR THE APPLICATION WILL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status is changed, pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above and notify the United States Patent and Trademark Office of the change in status, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

- A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or
- B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check the box below and enclose the PUBLICATION FEE and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.
- ☐ Applicant claims SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27.
- II. PART B FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL should be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Even if the fee(s) have already been paid, Part B Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and returned. If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted.
- III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.



Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

				(703) 746-4000		
INSTRUCTIONS: This for appropriate. All further corrindicated unless corrected be maintenance fee notifications	ciow or directed otherwise i	mitting the ISSUI atent, advance ord n Block I, by (a)	E FEE and PUBLIC lers and notification specifying a new co	CATION FEE (if reconstruction of maintenance fees orrespondence address	quired). Blocks I through 4 s will be mailed to the current ss; and/or (b) indicating a sep-	hould be completed where correspondence address as arate "FEE ADDRESS" for
CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE	ADDRESS (Note: Legibly mark-up	with any corrections or	use Block 1)	Note: A certificate	of mailing can only be used f This certificate cannot be used	or domestic mailings of the
				papers. Each addition	mal paper, such as an assignme	for any other accompanying ent or formal drawing, mus
27195 759			•	have its own certific	ate of mailing or transmission.	
AMIN & TUROC 24TH FLOOR, NA' 1900 EAST NINTH CLEVELAND, OH	TIONAL CITY CENTI STREET	ER		I hereby certify that States Postal Service addressed to the M	Certificate of Mailing or Tran. this Fee(s) Transmittal is bein e with sufficient postage for fin lail Stop ISSUE FEE address SPTO, on the date indicated be	g deposited with the United est class mail in an envelope above, or being facsimile
000 100 1110, 011					-	(Depositor's name)
						(Signature)
		•				(Date)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		IRST NAMED INVEN	TOP	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
					<u> </u>	
09/364,527	07/30/1999		ERIC HORVITZ	•	1018.025US1	9577
TITLE OF INVENTION: MI	ETHOD FOR AUTOMATIC	ALLY ASSIGNI	NG PRIORITIES TO	DOCUMENTS AN	D MESSAGES	
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FE	E PU	JBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1330		\$0	\$1330	05/19/2004
EXAM	NER	ART UNI	T CI	ASS-SUBCLASS		
SINGH, R	ACHNA	2176		707-514000		
Tree Address" indication	address or indication of "Fed nee address (or Change of Co 2) attached. on (or "Fee Address" Indication or more recent) attached. Use	orrespondence	names of up to agents OR, altern firm (having as a agent) and the na	the patent front pag 3 registered patent atively, (2) the nam member a registere mes of up to 2 reg is. If no name is lis	attorneys or 1 e of a single d attorney or 2 istered patent	
3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND PLEASE NOTE: Unless a been previously submitted (A) NAME OF ASSIGNE	an assignee is identified belo I to the USPTO or is being su	w, no assignee da ibmitted under sep	ita will appear on the earate cover. Comple		assignee data is only appropri OT a substitute for filing an ass OUNTRY)	ate when an assignment ha
Please check the appropriate	assignee category or categori	ics (will not be pri	nted on the patent);	☐ individual ☐	corporation or other private g	roup entity 🚨 governmen
4a. The following fee(s) are of	enclosed:		Payment of Fec(s):			
☐ Issue Fee				ount of the fee(s) is		
☐ Publication Fee			, ,	card. Form PTO-20		
☐ Advance Order - # of C	Lopies		Deposit Account Nu	mber	charge the required fee(s), or (enclose an extra	copy of this form).
Director for Patents is reques	ted to apply the Issue Fee and	d Publication Fee	(if any) or to re-apply	any previously paid	d issue fee to the application ide	entified above.
(Authorized Signature)		(Date)				
NOTE; The Issue Fee and other than the applicant; a interest as shown by the rec	Publication Fee (if required registered attorney or ager ords of the United States Patents	d) will not be account; or the assigne cnt and Trademark	cepted from anyone e or other party in c Office.			
application. Confidentiality estimated to take 12 minute completed application form case. Any comments on suggestions for reducing the suggestions for Trademark C 22313-1450. DO NOT SI	ion is required by 37 CFR by the public which is to fill is governed by 35 U.S.C. 12 se to complete, including gat to the USPTO. Time will the amount of time you re its burden, should be sent to office, U.S. Department of END FEES OR COMPLET for Patents, Alexandria, Virginary the public which is purely to the complete of the public of t	22 and 37 CFR 1.1 thering, preparing, vary depending to complet to the Chief Inform f Commerce, A CED FORMS TO	4. This collection is and submitting the			

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/364,527	07/30/1999	ERIC HORVITZ	1018.025US1 957	
27195	7590 02/19/2004		EXAMI	NER
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER		SINGH, R	ACHNA	
1900 EAST/NIN		EK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND,	OH 44114		2176	21
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	, , ,

Determination of Patent Term Extension under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed after June 7, 1995 but prior to May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Extension is 0 day(s). Any patent to issue from the above-identified application will include an indication of the 0 day extension on the front page.

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Extension is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (703) 305-1383. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (703) 305-8283.

<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Nadion of Allows Lillan	09/364,527	HORVITZ, ERIC	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rachna Singh	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS Is herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-88 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.37	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the comment of the comment	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course.	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>1/20/04</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>36</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on 20 January 2004 are accepted by the second seco	he Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 	ve been received. ve been received in Applicati ocuments have been receive " of this communication to fil	on No d in this national stage application from	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub- INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gi	mitted. Note the attached EX ves reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE (r declaration is deficient.	OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") me	ust be submitted.		
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Draftspe	•	w (PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date	r's Amendment / Comment o	r in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	1.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 C	he drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d).	F
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	OSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MAT FFOR THE DEPOSIT OF BI	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the OLOGICAL MATERIAL.	
 Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☒ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No./Mail Date 4, 5, and 15 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. Interview S Paper No 7. Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) summary (PTO-413), /Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance	

Application/Control Number: 09/364,527 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment B filed 1/20/04 and Declaration filed 1/20/04.

Response to Amendment

2. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 1/20/04 is sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph rejection of claim 36 based upon the clarification that the selected text, not the implicit training module, comprises the features of "having an assigned priority" and "comprising new training messages to the text classifier". The declaration has also clarified the derivation of the term "assigned priority" as pointed out in the specification.

In response to Applicant's arguments regarding Interference, please see the "Interference" below.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicant's arguments and declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 have overcome the U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. The two features of "having an assigned priority" and "comprising new training messages to the text classifier" are understood to be directed to the "selected text", not the "implicit training module" as indicated by a comma separating the phrase, "the selected text having an assigned priority and comprising new training messages to the text classifier" from the other portions of the claim limitations. The term "assigned priority" is being interpreted as being assigned by the

Application/Control Number: 09/364,527

Art Unit: 2176

implicit training module as specified in the specifications on pages 16-17 of the specification.

As there are no prior art rejections pending in the case and Applicant has overcome the 112, 2nd paragraph rejections, the pending claim is allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Interference

4. Claim 36 of this application is asserted by applicant to correspond to claim(s) of U.S. Patent No. 6,408,277 B1.

The examiner does not consider this claim to be directed to the same invention as that of U.S. Patent No. 6,408,277 B1 because of the following reasons:

As stated in the previous office action, Examiner notes that Nelken's "task" differs from Applicant's "text" since a task incorporates any action that can be performed by the agent or electronic system (see Nelken, column 1). While a task can incorporate a piece of data such as a text, it is also able to incorporate the action of choosing a message which the Applicant's claimed "text" cannot do. In response to Applicant's argument and Declaration that Nelken has extended his definition by stating that a task "may be a piece of data that must be acted on in some fashion"; however, the actual use of the term, "task", within the Nelken patent is limited to text to be prioritized, Examiner disagrees. Applicant points out column 1, lines 53-57 and Col. 4, lines 24-26

Application/Control Number: 09/364,527

Art Unit: 2176

and lines 38-40 to show how the prioritization system of Nelken has a task parser that includes a natural language processor for analyzing the content of text. Applicant further points out that Nelken's patent are directed to text-based communications and not actions and any "tasks" are treated within the Nelken patent as being a species of text-based communications. Examiner points to Column 4, lines 30-35 in which Nelken teaches that a "task" parser may include a voice communication processor that analyzes tasks received via a voice-based channel, where the voice tasks were NOT converted to text by the contact center. The voice communication processor may be configured to detect emotional content of a voice task as well as to parse the task into concepts. Emotional content such as stress or anger may correspond to priority criteria that indicate a high priority. Thus Nelken not only takes into account text communications but other types of communications including that of voice without converting it into some form of a text-based communication. See column 4, lines 30-35. In light of these comments, Examiner is not convinced that the present invention renders the Nelken patent as being obvious.

Applicant's arguments and declaration argue that a "task queue" and storage media are the "same" based on the standard of obviousness, in that a queue does not indicate the presence of a software algorithm any more than does a storage media. Examiner points out that a queue is a priority system in which the tasks are lined up in light of other "tasks" or "jobs". As stated in the previous office action, a task queue does indicate the presence of some software algorithm that works in conjunction with the operating system. A queue uses a data structure (FIFO (first-in first-out)) to sequence

Application/Control Number: 09/364,527

that could be present in the storage media.

Art Unit: 2176

multiple demands for a resource. Queues are used in operating systems and involve placing something on the queue and taking it off and processing it; whereas, a storage media is a device into which data can be entered, held, and retrieved at a later time. The two vary in that a queue involves a data structure to sequence demands. A storage media simply places and holds data for retrieval later on and does not involve the process that a queue utilizes. Moreover, the Applicant's claimed storage media stores "text" in order of its priority and does not take into account other "tasks" or "jobs" existing in the queue. Nelken's "task queue" is different than the Applicant's "storage media configured to store text in order of priority" because the storage media does not take into account other tasks that may not be text-based communications. In other words, Applicant's "storage media" is limited to the priorities of text regardless of other tasks

Thus Examiner believes that the two-way obviousness test fails in considering the features of Nelken's claim 1 and claim 36 of the current invention. However, the Examiner states that the inventions would be separately patentable. Accordingly, an interference cannot be initiated based upon this claim.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachna Singh whose telephone number is 703.305.1952. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5).

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 703.305.9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RS 2/13/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER